	Case 2:24-cv-00555-DC-JDP Docume	ent 40 Filed 09/15/25 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROLAND ADAMS,	Case No. 2:24-cv-00555-DC-JDP (PS)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	ABBOTT LABORATORIES,		
15	Defendant.	(ECF Nos. 26, 34)	
16			
17	Plaintiff Roland Adams is proceeding pro se in this action for products liability against		
18	Defendant Abbott Laboratories. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge		
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On March 24, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein		
21	which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings		
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 34.) On April 4, 2025,		
23	Defendant filed objections to the pending findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 35.) That		
24	same day, Plaintiff also filed objections to the pending findings and recommendations. (ECF No.		
25	37.) Thereafter, on April 18, 2025, Defendant filed a response to Plaintiff's objections. (ECF No		
26	38.) The parties' objections do not provide a basis upon which to reject the pending findings and		
27	recommendations.		
28	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602		
		1	

	Case 2:24-cv-00555-DC-JDP Document 40 Filed 09/15/25 Page 2 of 2	
1	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed <i>de novo</i> .	
2	See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the	
3	magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court")	
4	Having reviewed the file, including the parties' objections, the court finds the findings and	
5	recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
6	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
7	1. The proposed findings and recommendations dated March 24, 2025 (ECF No. 34)	
8	are ADOPTED in full;	
9	2. Defendant's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 26) is GRANTED;	
10	3. Within thirty (30) days from the date of this order, Plaintiff may file a second	
11	amended complaint; and	
12	4. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further	
13	proceedings.	
14		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	T 000	
17	Dated: September 14, 2025 Dena Coggins	
18	United States District Judge	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
۷٥		